# OF RECYCLED URANIUM AT THE SAVANNAH RIVER SITE

Louis E. McCarty, Manager Performance Evaluation & Quality Programs

Quality Assurance and Integrated Standards Management Department Westinghouse Savannah River Company Savannah River Site Aiken, SC 29808

UNCLASSIFIED

DOES NOT CONTAIN

UNCLASSIFIED CONTROLLED

NUCLEAR SECRECION

ADC &

Reviewing 

Fificial

(Name and Tible)

Date:

# Site Team Approval Sheet

Louis E. Malarti
Louis E. McCarty, Tearn Lead
Environment, Safety, Health, & Quality Assurance
Daniel J. Campbell, Material Control and Accountability
David E. Buxton, Nuclear Materials Stabilization and Storage
Kenneth W. Crase, Ph. Dr. Kenneth W. Crase, Environment, Safety, Health, & Quality Assurance
Jilene H. Weber, Savannah River Technology Center
Dr. R. Stephen Lee, Analytical Laboratories
Charles E. Varner, Spent Fuel Storage
Joyce M. Browning, Materials Controls and Accountability
Frederick C. Rhode, Spent Fuel Storage

# **Table of Contents**

Execu	Executive Summary Page				
1.0	Sava	nnah River Site Recycle Uranium Mass Balance Project	2		
	1.1	Project Overview	2		
	1.2	· ·	3		
	1.3	Project Implementation Strategy	3		
2.0	Site	Site Historical Overview			
	2.1	Site Description	3		
	2.2	Key Uranium Processing Facilities	5		
		2.2.1 Uranium Fabrication	7		
		2.2.1.1 Plant Description	7		
		2.2.1.2 Material Flowsheet	8		
		2.2.1.3 Material Feed Specifications	8		
		2.2.1.4 Operating History	9		
		2.2.1.5 Current Status	10		
		2.2.2 Uranium Irradiation	10		
		2.2.2.1 Plant Description	10		
		2.2.2.2 Material Flowsheet	11		
		2.2.2.3 Feed Specifications	11		
		2.2.2.4 Product Specifications	11		
		2.2.2.5 Operating History	11		
		2.2.2.6 Current Status	12		
		2.2.3 Uranium Separation	13		
		2.2.3.1 Plant Description	13		
		2.2.3.2 Material Flowsheet	16		
		2.2.3.3 Feed Specifications	16		
		2.2.3.4 Production Specifications	16		
		2.2.3.5 Operating History	16		
		2.2.3.6 Current Status	17		
	2.3	Recycled Uranium Program at SRS	17		
	2.4	High Potential Worker/Recycle Uranium Contact Activities	20		
	2.5	Reportable Environmental Releases of Recycled Uranium TRU/FP	22		
	2.6	Environmental Monitoring and Records	23		
3.0	Rec	ycled Uranium Mass Flow	24		
	3.1	Uranium Recycle Description	24		
	3.2	Uranium Receipts	24		
	3.3	Uranium Shipments	24		
	3.4	Recycle Uranium Waste	24		
	3.5	Recycle Uranium Scrap	25		
	3.6	Inventory as of March 31, 1999	25		
4.0	Con	stituents in Recycled Uranium	25		
	4.1	Analytical Laboratories	25		
		4.1.1 Analytical Procedures	26		
		4.1.2 Analytical Methods and Errors	26		
		4.1.3 Processing Issues	27		

		4.1.4 Quality Assurance	27
	4.2	Analytical Results of Plutonium in Uranium Materials Shipped	28
		4.2.1 Plutonium Specifications in Recycled Uranium	28
		4.2.2 Impurity Concentrations in Out-going Recycled Uranium	28
	4.3	Analytical Results for Neptunium in Uranium Materials Shipped	28
		4.3.1 Neptunium Specifications in Recycled Uranium	28
		4.3.2 Impurity Concentration in Out-going Recycled Uranium	28
	4.4	Analytical Results for Technetium in Uranium Materials Shipped	29
		4.4.1 Technetium Specifications in Recycled Uranium	29
		4.4.2 Impurity Concentrations in Out-Going Recycled Uranium	29
	4.5	Analytical Results for Plutonium in Uranium Material Received	30
		4.5.1 Plutonium Specifications in Recycled Uranium	30
		4.5.2 Impurity Concentrations in Incoming Recycled Uranium	30
	4.6	Analytical Results for Neptunium in Uranium Materials Received	30
		4.6.1 Neptunium Specifications in Recycled Uranium	30
		4.6.2 Impurity Concentrations in Incoming Recycled Uranium	30
	4.7	Analytical Results for Technetium in Uranium Materials Received	30
		4.7.1 Technetium Specifications in Recycled Uranium	30
		4.7.2 Impurity Concentrations in Incoming Recycled Uranium	30
5.0	Mas	s Balance Activities	30
	5.1	Annual Mass Balances of Recycled Uranium	30
	5.2	Mass Flow of Plutonium in Recycled Uranium	31
	5.3	Mass Flow of Neptunium in Recycled Uranium	31
	5.4	Mass Flow of Technetium in Recycled Uranium	31
	5.5	Worker Exposure to Plutonium, Neptunium and Technetium from	
		Recycled Uranium	33
	5.6	Environmental Contamination from Plutonium, Neptunium, and	
		Technetium in Recycled Uranium	35
6.0	Con	clusion	35
	6.1	Conclusions	35
7.0	References		
	Appendices		37
	Atta	chments	37

• 1

## Savannah River Site (SRS) Recycled Uranium Mass Balance Project Report

### Executive Summary

This report was produced in response to Deputy Secretary of Energy, T. J. Glauthier's memo of 9/15/99, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant—Follow-up Activities. This memo directed the DOE Office of Environment, Safety, and Health to coordinate a project to determine whether radioactive fission products and transuranics were present in the recycle uranium streams in sufficient concentrations to represent a potential health or environmental concern. This report supports the Project Plan developed by the Office of Environment, Safety, and Health titled "Historical Generation and Flow of Recycled Uranium in the DOE Complex", dated February 2000.

Uranium flows at SRS involved three primary process areas:

- 1. Fuel and Target Fabrication Facilities in 300-Area;
- 2. Fuel and Target Irradiation Facilities in 100-Area;
- 3. Radio-Chemical Separation Facilities in the 200-Area.

Operations in 300-Area and in 200-Area were the major focus of this study as those operations presented the greatest potential for personnel exposure to fission products and transuranics in the recycle uranium stream.

Over the years of site operation, beginning with the startup of Fuel and Target Fabrication activities in 1952, employees of SRS have handled and processed uranium in support of the site's mission to produce Tritium and Plutonium for the nation's nuclear weapons program. Results of this study indicates that the site shipped 31,355.3 metric tons (MTUs) of uranium and received 54,544 MTUs of uranium from plant startup through March 1999. Figure 1-1 shows the major sources, quantities, and types of uranium material received at SRS, while Figure 1-2 provides similar information for uranium shipped from SRS. Works Technical Reports indicate that SRS first began receiving recycled uranium in 1961 in response to an increased need for plutonium-238 production. Data indicates that the Recycle Uranium Program proceeded only after careful testing and monitoring confirmed that personnel exposures from recycle uranium would not be significantly greater than that received from normal uranium operations [1]. These tests revealed that personnel exposure doses from fission products and transuranics present in the recycled uranium would be less than 10% of the dose workers received from virgin uranium, and that no additional personnel monitoring beyond that in place for virgin uranium was warranted.

Recent site studies of worker internal dose that could be received from SRS waste streams containing the maximum concentrations of impurities in uranium confirms the validity of early tests. The study conducted by Dr. Kenneth Crase and Thomas R. La Bone concludes that for fission products and transuranics present in the SRS recycle uranium waste stream, no single radionuclide contributed as much as 10% of the total internal dose from all radionuclides, including uranium [15].

The site has maintained an active bioassay program for uranium workers since plant operations began. Likewise, radiation protection measures designed to protect workers from unnecessary

June 8, 2000

radiation exposure were in place prior to plant startup and have remained in place. No evidence was found during the course of this study, which would indicate SRS recycled uranium operations presented any unusual challenge to radiological protection measures historically used at the site. These protection measures notwithstanding, records indicate that 99 workers received internal doses of uranium over the history of the plant, which are well documented in site incident reports. It is likely that the workers receiving internal uptakes of uranium were also exposed to transuranics present in the uranium at very low levels. The study indicates that SRS personnel took reasonable care in the conduct of recycle uranium operations to safeguard the health and safety of site workers, and the public, as well as, protecting the environment.

FIGURE 1-1

SRS URANIUM RECEIPTS (MTUs)

Fernald
46,206
Metal, UO3

Oak Ridge K-25
14
UO3

SRS (MTU)
54,544

Paducah
Other Sites
5

8,134

2

UO3

June 8, 2000